

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/751,891	12/29/2000	David M. Hoffman	15-CT-5607	9042	
75	7590 01/06/2005 EXAMINER		INER		
John S. Beulick Armstrong Teasdale LLP			SUNG, CHRISŢINE		
Suite 2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One Metropolitan Square			2878		
St. Louis, MO 63102-2740		•	DATE MAILED: 01/06/2005	DATE MAILED: 01/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Nation of Abandonmant	09/751,891 HOFFMAN, DAVID M		VID M.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christine Sung	2878	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<del></del>	<del></del>	dress
This application is abandoned in view of:			
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of № period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on		·
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar I Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); (	mendment which pla	aces the
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6		mpt at a proper rep	ly, to the non-
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.			•
2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8  (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was	5). received on (with a Certification	ate of Mailing or Tr	ansmission dated
), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).	eriod for payment of the issue fee (ar	nd publication fee) s	et in the Notice of
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance			,
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	•	CFR 1.18(d), is \$	·
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.		
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>	uired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the No	tice of
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li> <li>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>	_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Trar	nsmission dated	), which is
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.			
The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR
<ol> <li>The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair</li> </ol>		se the period for see	king court review
7. ⊠ The reason(s) below:			
Mr. Thomas Fisher confirmed that the application is	abandoned.		
	SUPERVISORY P TECHNOLOGY	PORTA ATENT EXAMINER CENTER 2800	

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 0105